Michigan Plate Readers

https://michiganplatereaders.org/agencies/warren/

Warren PD: records and analysis, as of November 25, 2026

How to cite: Chance Ackley-Smith, Michigan Plate Readers, "Warren PD: records and analysis, as of November 25, 2026", https://michiganplatereaders.org/agencies/warren/. Text, analysis and data are licensed CC BY 4.0.

Summary of the department's audit exports

Figures computed by Michigan Plate Readers from the exports listed under C.




Figure 1. Searches whose scope included the department's cameras, per day, by the searching organization's state, July 1 to October 15, 2026. Counted from the network audit files listed under C; days are Michigan dates. A search counts once however many networks it spanned; the audit does not show whether a read from the department's cameras was returned. Own users: searches by users of Warren MI PD, the department's own organization in the export. State: read from the organization's name as the export gives it; Federal: the export's [Federal] tag.

A. Other organizations' searches of the department's cameras (network audit)

The department's own users ran 11,826 of the 3,283,271 searches in the network audit (0.4 percent); users at other organizations ran 3,271,445 (99.6 percent). The network audit lists 3,283,271 searches between July 1 and October 15, 2026 (107 days) whose scope included the department's cameras: 3,271,445 by users at 3,729 other organizations and 11,826 by the department's own users. Shares are of all 3,283,271. The 25 other organizations with the most searches:

	Organization	State	Listed	Days	Searches	Share
1	Houston TX PD	TX	yes	106	198,070	6.0%
2	Dallas TX PD	TX	yes	106	159,275	4.9%
3	California Highway Patrol	CA	yes	107	146,995	4.5%
4	[Federal] Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI)	Federal	yes	106	59,522	1.8%
5	Louisville Metro KY PD	KY	yes	106	44,031	1.3%
6	Michigan State Police	MI	yes	106	43,546	1.3%
7	Hillsborough County FL SO	FL	yes	106	36,378	1.1%
8	Harris County TX SO	TX	yes	106	34,630	1.1%
9	Palm Beach County FL SO	FL	yes	106	30,582	0.9%
10	Indianapolis Metro PD IN	IN	yes	106	27,378	0.8%
11	Cleveland OH Division of Police	OH	yes	106	27,166	0.8%
12	Texas Department of Public Safety	TX	yes	78	26,661	0.8%
13	Wichita KS PD	KS	yes	106	26,210	0.8%
14	Detroit MI PD	MI	yes	106	26,095	0.8%
15	Lexington KY PD	KY	yes	106	21,935	0.7%
16	Pinellas County FL SO	FL	yes	106	21,282	0.6%
17	Toledo OH PD	OH	yes	106	19,279	0.6%
18	Montgomery County TX SO	TX	yes	106	18,882	0.6%
19	Cobb County GA PD	GA	yes	106	18,644	0.6%
20	Arizona Department of Public Safety	AZ	yes	106	18,029	0.5%
21	Kent County MI SO	MI	yes	106	17,813	0.5%
22	Indiana State Police IN PD	IN	yes	106	16,259	0.5%
23	Missouri State Highway Patrol	MO	yes	106	15,945	0.5%
24	Lake County IN SO	IN	yes	105	15,885	0.5%
25	KY - Kentucky State Police	KY	yes	106	15,842	0.5%
	Other organizations (3,704)				2,185,111	66.6%

Listed: on the sharing list (SharedNetworks_2026_October_14.pdf); in only: only under its column Networks Shared With Me. Days: the dates, of 107, on which the organization ran at least one of these searches.

By where the organization is: other states and territories 2,907,238 (88.5 percent); Michigan 302,866 (9.2 percent, the department's own included); federal 68,959 (2.1 percent); no state in the name 4,208 (0.1 percent).

State: the state in the organization's name as the export gives it; Federal: the export's [Federal] tag.

Sharing list. SharedNetworks_2026_October_14.pdf lists 2,199 organizations. Of the 3,729 other organizations in the network audit, 1,869 do not appear on it; they ran 428,461 searches (13.0 percent). 244 appear only under Networks Shared With Me and ran 81,525 (2.5 percent).

Search Type, as the export records it: lookup 2,188,999; lookup - Mobile 722,493; search 265,267; search - Mobile 93,470; convoy 7,885; multiGeo 3,849; searchSummary - Mobile 389; visual 385; freeform 357; apiV1 73; apiLookup 38; visual - Mobile 34; freeform - Mobile 32.

Reason, in our groups	Searches	Share
Drugs/Narcotics	447,668	13.6%
Wanted Person (Arrest Warrant/Fugitive)	408,368	12.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft/Stolen	391,439	11.9%
Larceny/Theft Offenses	219,295	6.7%
Traffic Infraction	201,373	6.1%
Other	134,009	4.1%
Hit and Run/Car Accident	120,306	3.7%
Assault/Battery Offenses	119,182	3.6%
All other groups (942)	1,241,631	37.8%

Our groups: each reason's text before its first " - " (a dash with a space on each side) is kept in the export's words, as Flock's reason menu, when every part of it, split at each ", " (a comma and a space), appears in at least 50 searches by at least 5 organizations in the department's own network audit and contains no digit or @ sign; any other text is counted in one group, "Treated as free text". No other department's export is used, so every group can be re-run from the files listed under C.

B. Searches by the department's own users (search audit)

The department produced its search audits as PDF copies, the July file as page images; they are not counted here, and the page gives each month's count.

C. Sources

File, as the department sent it	What it is	Searches dated	Rows
7_1_2026-7_16_2026-Warren MI PD-Network-Audit.csv	network audit	Jul 1, 2026 to Jul 15, 2026	607,161
7_16_2026-8_1_2026-Warren MI PD-Network-Audit.csv	network audit	Jul 16, 2026 to Aug 1, 2026	672,668
8_1_2026-8_16_2026-Warren MI PD-Network-Audit.csv	network audit	Aug 1, 2026 to Aug 15, 2026	538,519
8_16_2026-9_1_2026-Warren MI PD-Network-Audit.csv	network audit	Aug 16, 2026 to Sep 1, 2026	497,579
9_1_2026-9_16_2026-Warren MI PD-Network-Audit.csv	network audit	Sep 1, 2026 to Sep 15, 2026	371,648
9_16_2026-10_1_2026-Warren MI PD-Network-Audit.csv	network audit	Sep 16, 2026 to Sep 30, 2026	322,622
10_1_2026-10_15_2026-Warren MI PD-Network-Audit.csv	network audit	Oct 1, 2026 to Oct 15, 2026	273,179
SharedNetworks_2026_October_14.pdf	sharing list, 2,199 organizations; as of October 14, 2026		

The files were released October 27, 2026, as seven CSV files by a Dropbox link, in response to request 26-2915. Tallied November 25, 2026. The exports give Search Time in UTC; dates here are Michigan dates. Every figure on this page can be re-run from these files.

A search ID listed more than once in the network audit is counted once (105 repeated rows).

Warren Police Department

Macomb County, Michigan

Published The agency answered 26-2915 on Oct 6, 2026 (business day 4, no extension): granted, with a fee of $75.00, paid Oct 8, 2026; produced for some of the items requested; our appeal of the fee, sent Oct 6, 2026, was withdrawn by us Oct 8, 2026. It answered 26-2914 on Oct 27, 2026 (business day 18, after extending its time to Oct 22, 2026): granted, with a fee of $18.00, paid Oct 30, 2026. The records and our analysis are published. The agency's reply, when one arrives, is under Right of reply.

> **How to read this page**
>
> RECORD The statement repeats what a document or an agency's data export says. The source is linked from the page. Documents we publish are listed on the Records and Letters pages.
>
> QUESTION The records leave a point unanswered. We send each question to the agency in writing: with the draft of its records and our analysis, or in a later letter when the question is added afterward. Its answer is published on the page.
>
> ANALYSIS This is our reading of the records, labeled so it is never mistaken for a record.
>
> Each agency gets a draft of its records and our analysis before they are published, and its reply is published in full (Methods).

The Department's records show 22 Flock license plate reader cameras, the first installed in November 2021. Between July 1 and October 15, 2026, the network audit lists 3,271,445 searches whose scope included the Department's cameras, run by 3,729 other organizations; 88.5 percent of the searches in the audit came from organizations in other states and territories. On October 14, 2026 the Department shared its network with 1,885 organizations, and its procedure calls for quarterly Internal Affairs audits.

STATUS
Active

CAMERAS
22 license plate readers and 1 gunshot detector

RETENTION
30 days

ANNUAL COST
$66,000 for the year ending April 30, 2026

SEARCHES BY OTHER ORGANIZATIONS
3,271,445 by 3,729 organizations, Jul 1, 2026 to Oct 15, 2026

SEARCHES FROM OUTSIDE MICHIGAN
88.5 percent of the searches in its network audit

SEARCHES RECORDED AS IMMIGRATION
12 by other organizations (Methods; Immigration terms in search reasons)

AUDITS UNDER ITS OWN POLICY
0 audit memos in the records

ORGANIZATIONS IT SHARES WITH
1,885 organizations, 193 of them in Michigan

OWN POLICE DEPARTMENT
Yes

RECORDS REQUESTS
26-2914 (text): answered 2026-10-27; records produced; open
26-2915 (text): answered 2026-10-06; produced for some of the items requested; closed

Timeline

Date	Event	Source
Jun 12, 2019	The Department's agreement with Vigilant Solutions for its investigative data platform takes effect.	2022 release
May 15, 2020	Vigilant's quote for the renewal of the Department's LEARN subscription for June 9, 2020 to June 8, 2021, with FaceSearch: $34,060.	2022 release
Jul 7, 2021	Flock's order form: 22 Falcon cameras, $60,500 in the first year.	Agreement file, 2022 release
Aug 4, 2021	Axon's letter on the Axon-Flock integration, Addendum A to the agreement.	2022 release
Sep 28, 2021	The City Council approves an award to Flock Safety for two years with four two-year renewal options, as the Purchasing Agent's memo of May 6, 2024 records.	Agreement file
Oct 5 and 7, 2021	Flock's Chief Revenue Officer signs the order form on October 5; the Mayor and the City Clerk sign for the City on October 7.	2022 release

Date	Event	Source
Nov 4 to 15, 2021	Flock's deployment printout dates 18 cameras complete.	Deployment printout
Feb 22 and Mar 10, 2023	Two camera rows named relocations are dated complete.	Deployment printout
Nov 30, 2023	A Raven gunshot detection device is dated complete.	Deployment printout
Dec 1, 2023	The Department's Flock account shows the statement for Illinois affirmed.	Screenshot
May 1, 2024	A Police Department letter to the Purchasing Agent says the Flock contract "has now expired" and recommends its renewal.	Agreement file
May 6 and 7, 2024	The Purchasing Agent's memo recommends the first of four possible two-year extensions, $121,000; the Budget Director and the Controller sign its approval block on May 6 and the Mayor on May 7.	Agreement file
May 15, 2024	The amendment is signed for the Department and for Flock: term May 1, 2024 to April 30, 2026.	Agreement file
Apr 23, 2025	One more camera is dated complete.	Deployment printout
Apr 30, 2026	The amendment's term ends.	Agreement file
Jul 1, 2026	First day of the window the search audit and the network audit cover.	Network audit by day
Jul 15, 2026	The account shows the statement for New Mexico affirmed.	Screenshot
Jul 21, 2026	Procedure 26-65, License Plate Readers (Flock Cameras), takes effect, rescinding Procedure #26-31.	Procedure 26-65
Sep 30, 2026	The account shows the statement for New Jersey affirmed at 12:25 pm; Flock's Insights page on the Department's hot-list alerts is created.	Screenshot, Insights page
Oct 14, 2026	Last day of the search audit; date of the sharing list, the user list and the page of searches by offense type, by their file names.	Sharing list, User list
Oct 15, 2026	Last search in the network audit, at 12:00:42 am.	Network audit by day
Nov 5, 2026	Date of Flock's deployment printout: 24 devices, every one marked installed.	Deployment printout

What the program is

RECORD For item 1 of the documents request the Department produced the Flock agreement file. The Government Agency Customer Agreement's recitals state that "Flock Group Inc. (d/b/a Flock Safety) is Axon Enterprise, Inc.'s only strategic integration partner relating to fixed automated-license-plate recognition systems making them a sole source vendor of this product." and "The City of Warren has previously entered into a contract with Axon for in car and body worn cameras." Axon's letter of August 4, 2021, attached as Addendum A, says that a plate looked up in Flock's dashboard "will return any matching results from both Flock and Axon Fleet 3 systems." The order form, dated July 7, 2021, lists 22 Flock Falcon cameras and their implementation fees, $60,500 for the first year and a recurring total of $55,000, with "Initial Term: 24 Months" and "Renewal Term: 24 Months". The Purchasing Agent's memo of May 6, 2024 says: "On September 28, 2021 Warren City Council approved an award to Flock Safety for the purchase of License Plate Reader Technology for a two-year period with the option to renew for four (4) additional two (2) year terms."

RECORD The agreement file also holds the 2024 extension. A Police Department letter of May 1, 2024 to the Purchasing Agent says: "The contract for FLOCK has now expired, and the City of Warren Police Department recommends the renewal of the agreement." The Purchasing Agent's memo of May 6, 2024 recommends that the award "be extended for the first of four possible two-year extensions, in the total amount of $121,000.00", $55,000 for May 1, 2024 to April 30, 2025 and $66,000 for May 1, 2025 to April 30, 2026, and says that "If approved by your honorable body, this extension will commence retroactively on May 1, 2024 for a two-year period." Its approval block is signed by the Budget Director and the Controller on May 6 and by the Mayor on May 7, 2024. The amendment, signed for the Department by a Captain and for Flock by its General Counsel on May 15, 2024, reads "First year will reflect $2500 rate/camera, second year will reflect $3000/camera" and "The term shall be 5/1/2024 to 4/30/2026." The file holds a blank resolution form and no record of a Council vote, and no agreement, order, renewal or invoice for the time after April 30, 2026; the City's notice of November 6, 2026 named items 1 and 2 among the items still being researched, and its message of November 23, 2026 says the records "were located" and charges a fee to be paid before they are released.

QUESTION What agreement, order or renewal covers the Department's Flock service after April 30, 2026, including the Raven gunshot detection device the deployment printout dates November 30, 2023, and at what cost? The memo of May 6, 2024 says the extension would begin "If approved by your honorable body"; did the City Council act on the 2024 extension, and on any later one?

RECORD For item 5 the Department produced Flock's deployment printout of November 5, 2026. It lists 24 devices, every one marked INSTALLED: 22 of type LPR Camera; 1 of type Gunshot Detection, dated complete November 30, 2023; and a compute box. 18 of the cameras are dated complete between November 4 and November 15, 2021; two rows named relocations are dated February 22 and March 10, 2023, and one camera "Week of December 29" with no year. The Department blacked out the location name, latitude and longitude columns. Item 5 had asked that the remaining fields be released if the coordinates were withheld.

QUESTION Does the Department hold an installation, relocation or decommission record for any of its cameras, among them the two relocations the printout dates February 22 and March 10, 2023?

RECORD For item 3 the Department produced Procedure 26-65, License Plate Readers (Flock Cameras), nine pages, issued and effective July 21, 2026, rescinding Procedure #26-31, with parts blacked out by the Department, among them the body of pages 5 and 6 and the top of page 7. It says: "Fixed LPVR systems may be mounted at targeted locations within the city where traffic volume and crime data trends indicate there could be a benefit to public safety." It bars members from using the systems, scan files or hot lists solely because of a person's or group's race, gender, religion, political affiliation, nationality, ethnicity, sexual orientation, disability "or other classification protected by law", and "for a personal purpose." It provides that "The Police Commissioner or designee shall be the primary administrator for the City of Warren ALPR and LPVR systems".

QUESTION Does the Department hold Procedure #26-31, which Procedure 26-65 rescinds, or any other superseded version of its license plate reader policy in the time frame of the request?

RECORD Section IV.I of Procedure 26-65 says: "Flock Safety-related data is stored for a maximum of 30 days." and "Axon ALPR data is stored for a maximum of 365 days.", and that data from either system that is potential evidence must be moved to the Department's AxonEvidence storage before deletion. The 2021 agreement's recitals give the same thirty days for Flock's footage. No record of setting or changing the period was produced under item 6, and the release gives no address of a Flock transparency portal, which the item asked for if the Department publishes one.

RECORD Section IV.J of Procedure 26-65, Business and Homeowner Association Partnerships, provides that businesses and homeowner associations may buy and install Flock Safety technology and "provide inquiry/view access to the Warren Police Department.", and that members access those privately owned systems "in the same manner as they would access a Flock Safety system owned by the City".

QUESTION How many privately owned business or homeowner association Flock systems give the Department inquiry or view access under section IV.J, and does any record list them or the terms of that access?

RECORD Procedure 26-65 names two other plate-reading systems. It defines the Vigilant ALPR System as "The Vigilant camera system. This system acts as an ALPR system, to which the Warren Police Department has database access." and says: "Department patrol vehicles are equipped with Axon in-car cameras that, within the capabilities of the technology, allow the patrol vehicles to function as mobile ALPR systems." The file released in June 2022 holds the Department's Vigilant Solutions investigative data platform agreement, effective June 12, 2019, and Vigilant's quote of May 15, 2020 for the renewal of the LEARN subscription, $34,060, whose lines include "Access to all Vigilant commercially acquired national vehicle location data" and "FaceSearch with Vigilant Image Gallery Access".

QUESTION Which other plate-reading systems does the Department use today, among them the Vigilant database and the Axon Fleet 3 in-car cameras, and under what agreements and at what cost? Does the Vigilant subscription still include FaceSearch?

What it does

RECORD For item 2 of the exports request the Department produced its network audit as 7 consecutive CSV files. The audit lists 3,283,271 searches whose scope included the Department's cameras between Jul 1, 2026 and Oct 15, 2026 on 107 days: 3,271,445 by 3,729 other organizations and 11,826 by the Department's own users (3,283,376 rows as produced; 105 repeat an ID already counted and are counted once). 88.5 percent came from 3,535 organizations in 46 other states and territories, read from the organizations' names; 9.2 percent from 171 Michigan organizations, the Department included; 68,959 searches, 2.1 percent, from 7 organizations the export tags [Federal]; and 4,208 from 17 organizations with no state in their names. The largest single searcher was the Houston TX PD, with 198,070 searches, 6.0 percent. The median search covered 2,268 networks, and 2,947,763 of the 3,283,271 covered a thousand or more. Flock's export labels 2,911,492 of the searches as lookups and 359,126 as searches or search summaries; a table gives the count of each type. The export has a column for the number of networks each search covered and no column saying whether a read from the Department's cameras was returned; a search run across every network open to the searcher counts once. By organization. By day.

RECORD None of the organizations in the network audit is ICE, Customs and Border Protection or Homeland Security Investigations itself; where those agencies appear in the counts below, it is in the reasons other organizations recorded. Under our coding rules (Methods), 12 of the 3,283,271 searches in the network audit are recorded as immigration searches: in each, the reason carries one of the immigration terms the rule lists, among them ICE, Border Patrol and 287(g). Another 571 searches are not recorded as immigration searches: 567 name HSI (Homeland Security Investigations) and 4 name CBP (Customs and Border Protection) in other casework, most often under the categories Drugs/Narcotics (228) and Wanted Person (Arrest Warrant/Fugitive) (67). 582 of these 583 searches were run by other organizations and 1 by the Department's own users. The export does not define the abbreviations.

QUESTION Procedure 26-65 bars use of the systems solely because of a person's or group's nationality, ethnicity or other protected classification and, in the parts the Department released, does not mention immigration enforcement. Does the Department have any other written policy on the use of its cameras, or on searches of them by other organizations, for civil immigration enforcement, and has it applied any Flock setting that limits such searches on its network?

RECORD For item 3 of the exports request the Department produced its sharing list, dated October 14, 2026 in its file name. It lists 2,199 organizations. The Department shares its network with 1,885 of them: 1,675 in other states and territories, 193 in Michigan, 8 federal agencies and 9 with no state in their names. 1,164 organizations share a network with the Department: 978 in other states, 177 in Michigan, 2 federal agencies and 7 with no state in their names; 165 share more than one network. 850 appear in both directions. The organizations on the list are in 43 states, Michigan included. Item 3 also asked for the sharing-settings record showing whether statewide and nationwide sharing are enabled; none was produced. The list.

RECORD Section IV.H of Procedure 26-65 says: "Outside law enforcement agencies that are part of the ALPR or LPVR network may be allowed search access for legitimate law enforcement purposes. Administrator approval for each agency is required." and "Outside law enforcement agencies requesting to receive alerts of hits from the ALPR or LPVR system must have a mutual aid agreement, letter of understanding, or other agreement in place with this Department and/or the ALPR or LPVR provider." The four event logs the Department produced under item 4 of the exports request list 91 events of the entity type networkShare between July 1 and October 14, 2026, and, in July, 6 of the type shareRequest and 1 of the type networkShareSettings. One of the July shareRequest rows, dated July 15, 2026, has the network selection mode withinState, with the permissions feedView and hotlistToolAccess, and names no recipient organization. No agreement, approval or record of joining or leaving a sharing network has been produced under item 4 of the documents request, which the City's notice of November 6, 2026 names among the items still being researched.

RECORD Of the 3,729 other organizations in the network audit, 2,113 are on no row of the sharing list of October 14, 2026 as an organization the Department shares its network with; 244 of them are on the list as sharing their own networks with the Department. The 2,113 ran 509,986 searches whose scope included the Department's cameras between Jul 1, 2026 and Oct 15, 2026; 2,099 of the organizations are in other states and territories, 4 in Michigan and 10 federal or with no state in their names. The list shows the shares as of October 14, 2026, and the audit begins July 1, so a share that ended before October 14 is not on it. In the other direction, 269 of the organizations the Department shares its network with ran no search in the window whose scope included its cameras. The organization names are matched as the two exports print them.

QUESTION Section IV.H.2 of Procedure 26-65 requires administrator approval for each outside agency given search access. Apart from the system's event log, how are those approvals recorded for the 1,885 organizations the sharing list of October 14, 2026 shows the Department sharing its network with? What did the shareRequest of July 15, 2026 with the network selection mode withinState ask for, and of which organizations? Does the Department take part in Flock's statewide or nationwide sharing? What put its cameras within the scope of searches by the 2,113 organizations in the network audit that are on no row of the list as organizations the Department shares with?

RECORD In the documents release the Department produced three screenshots of its Flock account taken September 30, 2026, each showing a state's statement on the use of that state's license plate data, which the agency affirms under penalty of perjury or loses access to the data: Illinois, shown affirmed December 1, 2023; New Mexico, shown affirmed July 15, 2026; and New Jersey, effective October 1, 2026 and shown affirmed September 30, 2026. In the New Jersey statement the agency affirms that it will not use or share license plate data collected in New Jersey and accessed through Flock for the purpose of investigating or enforcing a law that interferes with reproductive or other lawful health care or one "related to civil immigration enforcement"; an agency that does not affirm it loses its access to New Jersey data through sharing relationships or Flock's statewide or nationwide search tools.

RECORD For item 5 of the exports request the Department produced a Flock Insights page on hot-list alerts, created September 30, 2026, whose chart's date axis runs from March 13 to September 28, 2026; the page does not state the period its totals cover. It counts 38,493 Total Hotlist Alerts, among them 29,657 "Other Custom Hotlist Alerts on Your Networks" and 1,694 "Your Custom Hotlist Alerts", and

reads "No data" for Official Hotlist Alerts. Its table by topic also lists Michigan and NCIC topics, among them wanted persons, sex offenders, stolen vehicles, stolen plates and "NCIC - Gang or Suspected Terrorist". No alert-disposition report was produced.

QUESTION Whose custom hot lists produced the 29,657 alerts the page labels "Other Custom Hotlist Alerts on Your Networks", and what does the Department do when one of them alerts? Does the Department receive alerts from Michigan and NCIC hot lists, which the page counts by topic while it reads "No data" for official hot-list alerts?

RECORD For item 1 of the exports request the Department produced its search audit: 2,925 searches run by the Department's users in July 2026, 4,121 in August, 3,294 in September and 1,880 from October 1 to 14, with the users' names and the plates redacted by the Department. A page of searches by offense type, file dated October 14, 2026, which states no period, ranks 40 offense types by number of searches, 12,185 in all, led by Drugs/Narcotics with 1,919.

RECORD For item 6 the Department produced its user list, file dated October 14, 2026, with the names and contact columns blacked out by the Department. It holds 315 accounts, 249 active and 66 deactivated, with roles including Patrol, Patrol Power User, Investigations, Dispatch and Admin.

Oversight

RECORD Section V of Procedure 26-65, Internal Audits, says: "The Internal Affairs Division shall conduct quarterly audits to maintain oversight, ensuring proper use/retention of data collected, shared, and queried within the platform." The audit report goes to the Commissioner and the Professional Standards Bureau, and "The Internal Affairs Division will complete a meaningful annual review providing a report of findings of audit." Item 7 of the documents request asked for each internal audit memo or report of ALPR use and any memo or report the Department has prepared about searches of its cameras by other organizations. Nothing was produced under it, and the notice of November 6, 2026 does not name item 7 among the items still being researched.

QUESTION Has the Internal Affairs Division completed a quarterly audit or an annual review under section V since the procedure took effect on July 21, 2026, or any audit of license plate reader use before it, and does any audit reach the searches other organizations run on the Department's cameras?

ANALYSIS Of the 3,283,271 searches in the network audit whose scope included the Department's cameras between Jul 1, 2026 and Oct 15, 2026, 3,271,445 (99.6 percent) were run by other organizations. The network audit the Department produced is the export that records them. Section V provides for audits of data "collected, shared, and queried within the platform"; the records produced so far do not show whether any of the Department's audits reviews the searches other organizations run on its cameras.

How the agency answered

> RECORD The City's message of October 6, 2026 on the exports request says the request "is granted to the extent records exist, are public records, can be identified, and are not exempt from disclosure". The release message of October 20, 2026 reads: "Your records request (see insert below) has been fulfilled / completed." It says the redactions in the August and September search audits were made under MCL 15.243(1)(b)(v), for records that would "Disclose law enforcement investigative techniques or procedures", and that "the public interest in disclosure does not outweigh the public interest in nondisclosure in this particular instance." The network audit came as the CSV files the system exports, delivered on October 27, 2026; the other exports came as PDF copies or page images. The City did not address the fee waiver the request asked for under MCL 15.234(2).
>
> RECORD The City's notice of November 6, 2026 on the documents request reads: "Your records request has been partially fulfilled / completed." It cites MCL 15.243(1)(b)(v) for every redaction and says "a secondary invoice will be issued" for the labor of locating records under items 1, 2 and 4 and separating exempt material once the research into those items is complete. In the release, the amendment of May 15, 2024 runs the service through April 30, 2026, and Flock's deployment printout of November 5, 2026 lists 22 license plate reader cameras, the first dated complete in November 2021. No certificate under MCL 15.235(5)(b) was given for any item, and the fee waiver the request asked for was not addressed.
>
> RECORD The network audit as produced masks user names, plates, case numbers and filters.

What the Department produced

On the exports request, on the City's records portal on October 20, 2026: the search audits for July (page images), August, September and October 1 to 14, 2026; the event logs for the same months and a July workbook of permission grants; the user list; the sharing list; the page of searches by offense type; and the Insights page on hot-list alerts, each as a PDF except the workbook, with the Department's redactions where it made them. On October 27, 2026, through a shared folder: the network audit as 7 CSV files as the system exports them. The search audits and event logs are published as released, except that our copies black out the case numbers, the plates left visible in the text and some names of persons in the search reasons, and in the event logs the names, plates, case numbers and contact details the Department left visible; the user list, the sharing list, the page of searches by offense type and the Insights page are published as released; the network audit is published as derived tables that carry no name, plate, case number, filter or reason text, and the sharing list also as a table.

On the documents request, on the portal on November 6, 2026: the Flock agreement file (the 2021 order form and Government Agency Customer Agreement with Addenda A to C, and the 2024 letter, memo, resolution form and amendment), Procedure 26-65, three screenshots of the Department's Flock account and Flock's deployment printout, each with the Department's redactions where it made them. In our copies the Mayors, the City Clerk, the Secretary of the Council, the Police Commissioner named in the agreement file and the one who authorized Procedure 26-65, the Captain who signed the 2024 amendment and Flock's chief executive, Chief Revenue Officer and General Counsel are named; the Department's contacts on the order form and the agreement appear by rank, Axon's staff, the Purchasing Agent, the Budget Director and the Controller by role, with their names, signatures and named mailboxes blacked out; a signature

certificate's network address is blacked out; on each camera-site page of Addendum B the name in the User field and the house numbers are blacked out; and the affirming user's name on each screenshot is blacked out.

The 2022 release

In June 2022 a MuckRock user asked the Department for its license plate reader vendors and contracts. The Department answered request FOIA #642-22 on June 7, 2022, with a file holding the 2021 Flock agreement and order form, the 2019 Vigilant Solutions agreement and two Vigilant quotes. It is public at MuckRock (https://www.muckrock.com/foi/warren-17024/license-plate-reader-vendors-warren-police-department-129603/) and copied here.

Documents

Public copies of the records this page relies on. Each file lists the request it came from, the date received, and the first 16 characters of its SHA-256 hash; the full hash is on the All files page.

Document	Request	Received	Pages	Redaction
Warren PD response to FOIA #642-22: license plate reader vendor and contract records (35 pages) `318b3550627bce2f…`	MuckRock 129603 (another requester)	2022-06-07	35	the names, direct lines and named mailboxes of vendor staff and of City staff below the Police Commissioner (a staff sergeant, a detective corporal and the contract notice contacts other than Flock's chief executive), the names and signatures of the other vendors' signers, Vigilant's outside counsel's name and contact lines and a signer's IP address blacked out (the Mayor, the City Clerk and the Police Commissioner kept); Flock's Chief Revenue Officer, who signed for Flock, named with the signature, and its chief executive named as its notice contact (officers of the company); otherwise as released to the MuckRock requester; document properties cleared

Document	Request	Received	Pages	Redaction
Messages on request 26-2914 on the City's records portal, October 6, 2026, 11:23 am: the request "is granted to the extent that records exist, are public records, can be identified, and are not exempt from disclosure"; "A 10-day extension has been applied to your request"; the portal's notice that the due date "has been changed to: October 22, 2026" 8220ffbc58d7c03e…	26-2914	2026-10-06	1	the Sergeant's name left out; message text as copied from the portal, rendered to PDF; our note at the top; document properties cleared
Response on the City's records portal, October 6, 2026, 11:34 am: the request "is granted to the extent records exist, are public records, can be identified, and are not exempt from disclosure"; six grounds for redaction listed; payment of the Cost Itemization's total or its 50% deposit asked before preparation; the note that the fees "were substantiated via the following factors" lists none 3f787bd89350edf2…	26-2915	2026-10-06	2	the Sergeant's name left out; message text as copied from the portal, rendered to PDF; our note at the top; document properties cleared
Cost Itemization ("FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST INVOICE"), dated 10/06/26: $75.00, all of it locating labor (four 15-minute increments at $18.75, the sheet's $75.00-an-hour rate); redaction and duplication $0.00; deposit required $37.00, balance $38.00; "Date Request Received: 09/29/26" 8ec5d488d4e9c26a…	26-2915	2026-10-06	1	none (document properties cleared)
Second message from the Police Department's Records Division on the exports request's portal page, October 14, 2026, 3:37 pm: "The technology division is diligently working on preparing your documents for release. We will keep you advised." 396a0f1d509abecf…	26-2915	2026-10-14	1	none (message text as received, rendered to PDF; the portal's header and footer are left out; our note at the top)

Document	Request	Received	Pages	Redaction
Message from the Police Department's Records Division on the exports request's portal page, October 14, 2026, 11:07 am, answering our follow-up of October 13: "Thank you for your patience. We will try to have your records released by end of day today (October 14, 2026)." 2bc9ce7ee6d5a4ae…	26-2915	2026-10-14	1	none (message text as received, rendered to PDF; the portal's header and footer are left out; our note at the top)
Message from Warren Police Department Records on the exports request's portal page, October 20, 2026, 8:41 am, with the portal's entry closing the request at 8:45 am: the request "has been fulfilled / completed"; portions of the records redacted, a redaction log following each redacted document except the August and September search audits (352 and 332 redactions), all redacted under MCL 15.243(1)(b)(v), the exemption for disclosing "law enforcement investigative techniques or procedures"; "the public interest in disclosure does not outweigh the public interest in nondisclosure in this particular instance" 0d85aedb16b88597…	26-2915	2026-10-20	2	none (message text as posted, rendered to PDF; the portal's buttons and footer are left out; our note at the top)
Flock search audit of the Warren Police Department, searches run July 1 to 31, 2026 (106 pages: 105 page images of the export, then Warren's redaction log, which cites MCL 15.243(1)(b) and (1)(d), LEIN) 4eabc3c48e538753…	26-2915	2026-10-20	106	Warren's redactions as released: every Name and License Plate cell reads "Redacted - See Row 1" (row 1 gives the exemption text), and Warren blacked out cells in the Reason and Filters columns, listed by page in its log; ours: the Case # column blacked out from top to bottom, and the plates, partial plates and case numbers Warren left visible in the Reason, Filters and Text Prompt columns blacked out; the export's pages are new images of Warren's page images; document properties cleared

Document	Request	Received	Pages	Redaction
Flock search audit of the Warren Police Department, searches run August 1 to 31, 2026 (4,121 searches, 173 pages; released without a redaction log) 027c11f8c8b28e17…	26-2915	2026-10-20	173	Warren's redactions as released: every Name and License Plate cell reads "Redacted - See Row 1" (row 1 gives the exemption text), and Warren blacked out cells (most in the Filters column, a few in Reason and Case #) with its exemption words printed white inside them; ours: the Case # column blacked out from top to bottom, and the plates, partial plates and case numbers Warren left visible in the Reason, Filters and Text Prompt columns blacked out; text outside a page's edge or cut off from view removed (pages 41, 70, 89, 127, 130 and 161 rewritten as images, their visible text kept as a text layer); document properties cleared
Flock search audit of the Warren Police Department, searches run September 1 to 30, 2026 (3,294 searches, 184 pages; released without a redaction log) 046a6b259045ee9c…	26-2915	2026-10-20	184	Warren's redactions as released: every Name and License Plate cell reads "Redacted - See Row 1" (row 1 gives the exemption text), and Warren blacked out cells (most in the Filters column, a few in Reason and Case #) with its exemption words printed white inside them; ours: the Case # column blacked out from top to bottom, and the plates, partial plates and case numbers Warren left visible in the Reason, Filters and Text Prompt columns blacked out; the names of private persons in search reasons, and words that may be names, blacked out; document properties cleared

Document	Request	Received	Pages	Redaction
Flock search audit of the Warren Police Department, searches run October 1 to 14, 2026 (1,880 searches, 106 pages, then Warren's three-page redaction log citing MCL 15.243(1)(b)) 1be004451669a90f…	26-2915	2026-10-20	109	Warren's redactions as released: every Name and License Plate cell reads "Redacted - See Row 1" (row 1 gives the exemption text), and Warren blacked out cells (most in the Filters column, others in Reason, Text Prompt and Case #) with its exemption words printed white inside them; ours: the Case # column blacked out from top to bottom, and the plates, partial plates and case numbers Warren left visible in the Reason, Filters and Text Prompt columns blacked out; a word in a search reason that may be a name blacked out; document properties cleared
Flock event log of the Warren Police Department's account, events of July 1 to 31, 2026: hot-list entries and hot lists, organization-audit entries, exports, sharing changes and share requests (19 pages: 17 page images, then Warren's two-page redaction log citing MCL 15.243(1)(b)) d3e280a0e1fd0727…	26-2915	2026-10-20	19	Warren's redactions as released: values in the entity column blacked out (plates, some expiry times, reasons and hot-list names, and users' names and email addresses); ours: the values Warren left visible in the caseNumber, license, licensePlate, email, firstName, lastName, phoneNumber, userName and byUserName fields, the name of each hot-list alert recipient, and case numbers, plates and email addresses anywhere in the entity column, blacked out; a surname in a hot list's name blacked out; the export's pages are new images of Warren's page images; document properties cleared
Workbook released with the July 2026 event log, its file name marked (CONT): three rows, each a long list of permission grants (entity, entity ID, permission) split across columns b0a2d76cd777ab37…	26-2915	2026-10-20		the file path Excel saved in the workbook (a network folder and a login name) removed; nothing else changed; document properties cleared

Document	Request	Received	Pages	Redaction
Flock event log of the Warren Police Department's account, events of August 3 to 31, 2026: hot-list entries and hot lists, exports, sharing changes and user changes (14 pages: 12 of the export, then Warren's two-page redaction log citing MCL 15.243(1)(b)) 7f75ca6a0b8f0786…	26-2915	2026-10-20	14	Warren's redactions as released: values in the entity column blacked out (plates, some expiry times, reasons and hot-list names, and users' names and email addresses), with its exemption words printed white inside the boxes; ours: the values Warren left visible in the caseNumber, license, licensePlate, email, firstName, lastName, phoneNumber, userName and byUserName fields, the name of each hot-list alert recipient, and case numbers, plates and email addresses anywhere in the entity column, blacked out; text outside a page's edge or cut off from view removed; written as page images, the visible text kept as a text layer; document properties cleared
Flock event log of the Warren Police Department's account, events of September 1 to 30, 2026: hot-list entries and hot lists, exports, sharing changes and user changes (15 pages: 13 page images, then Warren's two-page redaction log citing MCL 15.243(1)(b)) bab149ab62595881…	26-2915	2026-10-20	15	Warren's redactions as released: values in the entity column blacked out (plates, some expiry times, reasons and hot-list names, and users' names and email addresses); ours: the values Warren left visible in the caseNumber, license, licensePlate, email, firstName, lastName, phoneNumber, userName and byUserName fields, the name of each hot-list alert recipient, and case numbers, plates and email addresses anywhere in the entity column, blacked out; a surname in a hot list's name, and a hot list named with a case number, blacked out; the export's pages are new images of Warren's page images; document properties cleared

Document	Request	Received	Pages	Redaction
Flock event log of the Warren Police Department's account, events of October 1 to 14, 2026: hot-list entries and hot lists, exports, sharing changes and organization-audit entries (9 pages: 7 page images, then Warren's two-page redaction log citing MCL 15.243(1)(b) and (1)(d), LEIN) `0689c479d5a0b596…`	26-2915	2026-10-20	9	Warren's redactions as released: values in the entity column blacked out (plates, some expiry times, reasons and hot-list names, and users' names and email addresses); ours: the values Warren left visible in the caseNumber, license, licensePlate, email, firstName, lastName, phoneNumber, userName and byUserName fields, the name of each hot-list alert recipient, and case numbers, plates and email addresses anywhere in the entity column, blacked out; a surname in a hot list's name blacked out; the export's pages are new images of Warren's page images; document properties cleared
Flock user list of the Warren Police Department's account, file dated October 14, 2026: user ID, title, role and role ID, status, identity provider and last login for each user (6 pages: 5 page images, then Warren's redaction log) `1af363c0d4e9783a…`	26-2915	2026-10-20	6	Warren's redactions as released: the first name, last name, email and phone columns blacked out as one block labeled "Safety of Law Enforcement Personnel", citing MCL 15.243(1)(b)(v) and (vi) in its log; nothing further blacked out by us; document properties cleared
Flock sharing list of the Warren Police Department, file dated October 14, 2026: for each organization, the networks it shares with Warren and the networks Warren shares with it (48 pages) `c1ca6f59a1cad595…`	26-2915	2026-10-20	48	document properties cleared
Searches by offense type, file dated October 14, 2026: 40 offense types ranked by number of searches, with each type's percentage of searches; the page states no period (2 pages) `2b87efa04a896eb8…`	26-2915	2026-10-20	2	document properties cleared

Document	Request	Received	Pages	Redaction
Flock Insights page on hot-list alerts, created September 30, 2026 (file name as produced: Insights - Flock Safety - FOIA Item 5): totals of hot-list alerts, alerts by topic, and a chart of daily hits by source type whose date axis is marked from March 13 to September 28, 2026 (1 page) `33f79b6ea420f6eb…`	26-2915	2026-10-20	1	document properties cleared
Cost Itemization on the documents request, dated 10/27/26: $18.00, one 15-minute redaction increment at the sheet's $75.00-an-hour rate ($18.75, rounded down); locating, copying and media $0.00; no deposit; "Balance must be paid before Records will be released" `656243793352e86fa…`	26-2914	2026-10-27	1	none (document properties cleared)
Message from the Police Department's Records Division on the exports request's portal page, October 27, 2026, 2:40 pm: a transfer into the requester's upload folder within the hour, and a request to be told if any of the documents still cannot be opened `37bd1b02469612a0…`	26-2915	2026-10-27	1	the signer given by role; the upload link left out (anyone holding it could add files to the folder); the portal's header and footer left out; our note at the top; document properties cleared
Police Records' answer on the request portal, October 30, 2026, 8:33 am, to our question of October 29: the $18.00 "is the preliminary estimate due to begin processing" the records, and "A separate invoice covering labor costs" for items 1, 2 and 4 will be issued once the research for them is complete `146ca015ae7911fb…`	26-2914	2026-10-30	1	the signer given by role; the portal's header, footer and links left out; document properties cleared

Document	Request	Received	Pages	Redaction
Police Records' message on the request portal, November 6, 2026, 2:28 pm, releasing records on the documents request: "Your records request has been partially fulfilled / completed", with every redaction under the investigative-techniques exemption, and "a secondary invoice will be issued" for the labor on three items once their research is complete; the six files listed `d334b060867979b7…`	26-2914	2026-11-06	1	none (notice text as received, rendered to PDF; the email headers, the portal's header, footer, links and viewing instructions left out; our note at the top)
Flock Safety agreement file as released (61 pages; file name as produced: Flock contract 8-6-2026): the order form of July 7, 2021 (22 Falcon cameras at $2,500.00 and 22 implementation fees at $250.00, $60,500.00 in year one), signed for the City October 7, 2021; the Government Agency Customer Agreement with Addenda A to C (Axon's letter of August 4, 2021, Flock's sole-source letter, the deployment plan, insurance) and the signature certificate; the City Controller's Office memo of May 6, 2024 recommending the first of four two-year extensions ($55,000.00 and $66,000.00, $121,000.00); the Police Department's letter of May 1, 2024; a blank resolution form; a second sole-source letter; an unsigned amendment; and the amendment signed May 15, 2024 (term May 1, 2024 to April 30, 2026; $2,500 a camera in the first year and $3,000 in the second) `cf16cad25171a5dc…`	26-2914	2026-11-06	61	the names of the order form's contact (a detective corporal) and of the Agency's notice contact (a staff sergeant), the ranks kept; the named mailboxes of City, Flock and Axon staff; an Axon attorney's typed name and signature and an Axon employee's name; the signer's IP address on the signature certificate; the Purchasing Agent's name and signature and the Budget Director's and the Controller's signatures (City staff and vendor staff by role); in the deployment plan (Addendum B), the name in the User field of each camera-site page (vendor staff, by role) and the house numbers in the site addresses, the streets and coordinates kept; the Mayors, the City Clerk, the Secretary of the Council, the Police Commissioner, the Captain who signed the 2024 amendment, and Flock's Chief Executive, Chief Revenue Officer and General Counsel named; document properties cleared

Document	Request	Received	Pages	Redaction
Police Department Procedure 26-65, License Plate Readers (Flock Cameras), issued and effective July 21, 2026, authorized by Police Commissioner Eric Hawkins and rescinding Procedure #26-31 (9 pages, with the Department's redactions; part of the hot-list definition and of the Administration section, the body of pages 5 and 6 and the top of page 7 and a passage of section IV.H blacked out): authorized users, prohibited uses, sharing with outside agencies on administrator approval, retention of 30 days for Flock data and 365 days for Axon ALPR data, business and homeowner association cameras, and quarterly Internal Affairs audits with an annual review `834f748f4fb53d8f…`	26-2914	2026-11-06	9	the typist's initials on the last page blacked out, the Police Commissioner's initials beside them kept; the Department's own redactions as released; the pages re-imaged at 150 dpi, the scan as released being 16.6 MB; document properties cleared
Screenshot of the Department's Flock account, September 30, 2026: the statement for Illinois (625 ILCS 5/2-130; effective January 1, 2024; a policy language update of June 4, 2026), shown as affirmed December 1, 2023, 1:47 pm, in which the agency affirms under penalty of perjury that it will not use that state's license plate data for the purposes the state's law names, or loses access to it `25c0f118c2d8b3de…`	26-2914	2026-11-06	1	the name of the user shown as affirming (a detective corporal) blacked out; the Department's own small redaction as released; document properties cleared
Screenshot of the Department's Flock account, September 30, 2026: the statement for New Jersey (effective October 1, 2026), shown as affirmed September 30, 2026, 12:25 pm, in which the agency affirms under penalty of perjury that it will not use that state's license plate data for the purposes the state's law names, or loses access to it `d33ecf3217985272…`	26-2914	2026-11-06	1	the name of the user shown as affirming (a detective corporal) blacked out; the Department's own small redaction as released; document properties cleared

Document	Request	Received	Pages	Redaction
Screenshot of the Department's Flock account, September 30, 2026: the statement for New Mexico (effective July 1, 2026), shown as affirmed July 15, 2026, 8:37 am, in which the agency affirms under penalty of perjury that it will not use that state's license plate data for the purposes the state's law names, or loses access to it da322fd1c8662f23…	26-2914	2026-11-06	1	the name of the user shown as affirming (a detective corporal) blacked out; the Department's own small redaction as released; document properties cleared
Flock deployment tracker for the Warren Police Department, printed from a CSV of November 5, 2026 (one page): 24 devices, all INSTALLED (22 LPR cameras, one gunshot detection device and one compute box), with install dates from November 2021 to April 2025; the location name, latitude and longitude columns blacked out by the Department 49c48976da829963…	26-2914	2026-11-06	1	none (the Department's own blackouts as released; document properties cleared)
Message on the City's request portal, November 23, 2026, 12:29 pm: the due date for request 26-2914 "has been changed to: January 11, 2027" 56b0242fe83fe454…	26-2914	2026-11-23	1	none (message text as received, rendered to PDF; the email headers, the portal's header and its footer are left out; our note at the top)
Police Records Division message on the City's request portal, November 23, 2026, 12:29 pm, on part B of request 26-2914 (items 1, contracts and pricing, and 2, procurement and approval): "Thank you for your patience as these records were located."; redactions under MCL 15.243(1)(g), the attorney-client privilege; a Cost Itemization released with the message; payment of the total, or of the 50% deposit with the records prepared but held until a second notice; "please allow 5-10 business days for preparation of written records once payment has been received" 1f1c0986f37c7fd1…	26-2914	2026-11-23	2	the Sergeant's name given by role, the rank kept; message text as received, rendered to PDF; the email headers, the portal's header and its footer are left out; our note at the top; document properties cleared

Document	Request	Received	Pages	Redaction
Cost Itemization on part B of the documents request (26-2914 (B)), dated 11/23/26: redaction labor at $0.28 a page, $51.80; copying, locating and media $0.00; fees before waivers, discounts or deposits $51.00; deposit required $25.00, balance due after deposit $26.00; "Balance must be paid before Records will be released" ece27a21c3bc6320…	26-2914	2026-11-23	1	none (document properties cleared)

What we sent

Our requests and appeals, as filed, with contact details omitted. Where a request was filed through a NextRequest portal, its number links to its page there. Some portals show that page only to the requester and the agency.

Document	Request	Sent	Pages
Our request 26-2914, Part A (documents), as filed	26-2914	2026-09-29	2
Our request 26-2915, Part B (system exports), as filed	26-2915	2026-09-29	2
Our appeal of the fee and the deposit on request 26-2915, to the FOIA Appeals Committee, as sent	26-2915	2026-10-06	2
Our message on the request's portal thread, October 6, 2026, 1:24 pm: notice that the fee and deposit are appealed to the FOIA Appeals Committee, with a copy of the appeal, as posted	26-2915	2026-10-06	2
Our letter to the FOIA Appeals Committee, October 7, 2026, 10:29 am, on the thread of our appeal on request 26-2915: an offer to withdraw the appeal in writing and pay the fee if a revised Cost Itemization supplies what the appeal says is missing without raising the fee, or if the waiver is granted; the appeal stands until then	26-2915	2026-10-07	1
Our note on the records portal, October 7, 2026, 10:30 am, on request 26-2915: the same offer as our letter to the FOIA Appeals Committee that morning, as posted	26-2915	2026-10-07	1
Our letter to the FOIA Appeals Committee, October 8, 2026, 7:04 pm, on the thread of our appeal on request 26-2915: the appeal of October 6 withdrawn in full; the $75.00 fee paid in full	26-2915	2026-10-08	1

Document	Request	Sent	Pages
Our note on the records portal, October 8, 2026, 7:05 pm, on request 26-2915: the fee appeal withdrawn and the $75.00 fee paid in full, as posted	26-2915	2026-10-08	1
Our second note on the records portal, October 8, 2026, 7:38 pm, on request 26-2915: the receipt's FOIA Number field reads 26-999 by mistake; the $75.00 payment and its confirmation number are for this request, as posted	26-2915	2026-10-08	1
Our note on the records portal, October 13, 2026, 9:53 am, on request 26-2915: the Records Division's message of October 6 said the records would follow within one to two business days of payment, which was made October 8; asks when to expect them, as posted	26-2915	2026-10-13	1
Our message on the records portal, October 19, 2026, 9:48 am, on request 26-2915: a check-in asking for an estimated time for the records, as posted	26-2915	2026-10-19	1
Our message on the records portal, October 20, 2026, 9:54 am, on request 26-2915, after a call to the Records Division that morning: five of the network audit files released that morning seem to be cut off and will not open; asks for the five again, or the CSV files the export tool produces; offers an upload folder, or to collect the files on a USB drive or a DVD, as posted	26-2915	2026-10-20	1
Our second message on the records portal, October 20, 2026, 10:08 am, on request 26-2915: left as CSV and zipped, the network audit files shrink by about 80%, where a PDF barely compresses (the July 1 to 16 audit, 123MB as plain text and 26.6 MB zipped; 239MB as a PDF and 220.7MB zipped); how to zip a file in Windows 10 and 11, as posted	26-2915	2026-10-20	1
Our message on the records portal, as posted, October 27, 2026, 12:58 pm, on request 26-2915: the corrected copies of five network audit files still awaited; asks whether they can be sent as CSV in place of PDF	26-2915	2026-10-27	1
Our message on the records portal, as posted, October 27, 2026, 4:08 pm, on request 26-2915: the CSV versions came through by Dropbox; thanks	26-2915	2026-10-27	1
Our message on the City's records portal, October 29, 2026, 10:54 am, on the documents request: asks whether the $18.00 on the Cost Itemization released October 27 must be paid before the request proceeds	26-2914	2026-10-29	1
Our message on the request portal, October 30, 2026, 10:45 am, on request 26-2914: thanks for the confirmation; the $18.00 paid	26-2914	2026-10-30	1

Requests and responses

The record of each request: dates, fees and the agency's determination, as on the Requests page. "Agency's determination" is the agency's own answer. "Where it stands" is our coding of the request's status. Once we have checked the records produced against the request, it says whether they covered every item requested

or some of them; while questions that could close the gaps are with the agency, it waits for the answers.

Request	26-2914 · text as sent
Sent	2026-09-29; counted as received 2026-09-30
Response due	2026-10-07; the agency's notice of 2026-10-06 extended it to 2026-10-22
Answered	2026-10-27, 18 business days after receipt
Agency's determination	Granted
Fee	$18.00 first stated
Fee waiver	Not addressed in the response
Paid	$18.00
Where it stands	Records produced. Our file is open (`produced` in the codebook)

Request	26-2915 · text as sent
Sent	2026-09-29; counted as received 2026-09-30
Response due	2026-10-07
Answered	2026-10-06, 4 business days after receipt
Agency's determination	Granted
Fee	$75.00 first stated, with a $37.00 deposit
Fee waiver	Not addressed in the response
Paid	$75.00
Where it stands	Produced for some of the items requested. Our file is closed (`produced_part` in the codebook)

Appeals

Appeal	Request	Sent	Outcome	Outcome date
Fee appeal	26-2915	2026-10-06	Withdrawn by us	2026-10-08

Right of reply

A draft of the records and analysis was sent to the agency (Police Records; the Police Commissioner; the Legal Department) on 2026-11-17. The agency has not corrected the draft or commented on it. Any reply will appear here in full.

Elsewhere on the site

Other pages that mention Warren PD. Charts and maps are left out of this copy (each chart's title is printed), and so are tables that list every agency's rows together. A statement that another page repeats word for word is printed once, and a long table shows its first ten rows.

From the page “Immigration terms in search reasons” (https://michiganplatereaders.org/immigration/)

Warren PD

Here this page carries 1 statement printed earlier in this copy, in the same words. It is not repeated.