

Our reply of October 5, 2026, 5:03 pm, on the thread of request AHP-2026-09-29-B, to the Police Records Clerk, copying Deputy Chief Scott McGraw and a second member of the City's staff, after the City's response of 2:57 pm the same day (granted in part; \$56.00 fee; records to be mailed). The City's online payment confirmation was attached; it is not published because it carries the requester's address. The quoted messages below the reply are published separately; the Records Clerk's first name is replaced by his role.

Thank you, [the Records Clerk]. I've paid the \$56.00 under the same reservation I stated this afternoon on the documents request (no factor identified under Section 4(3) of the Act; the search rate). Payment confirmation is attached. A disc by mail is fine. Two requests before it goes out:

1. Format. The notice marks "Paper Copy", and the fee form counts no sheets. Please confirm that the files are the exports in the format the system produces (CSV or similar), with any redaction made within the file (the value replaced, the column kept), as the request asked, rather than printed or converted to PDF.

2. Which items. The notice describes a redaction of "Personal information, including phone numbers and license plates, etc." under Sections 13(1)(a) and 13(1)(b)(iii). Could you tell me which of the six items, and which fields, the redaction touches? The request also asked for the earliest date the audit export tool will accept, so that I can request earlier periods separately.

Your message of 2:51 pm on the documents request says the City will not issue a waiver; I take that to cover this request as well unless you tell me otherwise.

Thank you for your time.

Chance Ackley-Smith